UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,862	08/19/2003	Patrick J. Phillips	2003P08375US	6342	
Siemens Corpor	7590 04/03/200 ration	EXAMINER			
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			CATTUNGAL, SANJAY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3768		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/644,862	PHILLIPS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	SANJAY CATTUNGAL	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con	sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially red	E below); lucing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	See attached Notice of Non-Cor 31.	mpliant Amendment (I	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s)		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after en	itry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Long V Le/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the Rafter reference does not teach a processor operable to select different ones of the plurality of transmit sequences and of the plurality of transmit levels in response to a single input from the user input control, the single input for adjusting a transmit level for contrast agent response.

Examiner would like to point out Col. 10 lines 38-55 of the Rafter refere which teaches a system that is configured to run the conditions of tables 1-10 in response to a single user input.

Regarding Claim 27 applicant argues that Rafter does not teach a table that includes setting for each of the transmit levels of transmit modulation frequency, transmit bandwidth, transmit coding, number of transmit loci per scan line, number of transmit pulses per scan line, number of transmitted lines per image, time between transmissions, velocity scale, reverberation-suppression pulses, receive bandwidth, receive demodulation frequency and combinations thereof.

Examiner would like to point out tables 1-10 of the Rafter reference which teaches settings for each of the transmit levels of transmit modulation frequency, transmit bandwidth, transmit coding, number of transmit loci per scan line, number of transmit pulses per scan line, number of transmitted lines per image, time between transmissions, velocity scale, reverberation-suppression pulses, receive bandwidth, receive demodulation frequency and combinations thereof. Hence all the limitations have been met and the rejection is maintained.